**ACWR Award Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Excellent (4)**Exceeds criteria | **Good (3)**Clearly meets criteria | **Fair (2)**Minimally meets criteria | **Poor (1)**Does not meet criteria |
| **Innovation** | The project clearly demonstrates innovative methods to improve preservation, public discovery or use of archives. Specific details and examples are indicated | The project partially but mostly demonstrates innovative methods to improve preservation, public discovery, or use of archives. | The project is incomplete or unclear on demonstrating innovative methods to improve preservation, public discovery, or use of archives. | The project does not demonstrate any innovative methods to improve preservation, public discovery, or use of archives. |
| **Organization** | The project is carefully organized, Words/material chosen carefully to convey appropriate tone.  | The project is logically organized. Words/material chosen mostly convey appropriate tone.  | The project is somewhat clear on organization. Words/material chosen limited on conveying of appropriate tone. | The project is not clear on organization. Words/material chosen fall short of conveying appropriate tone. |
| **Implementation** | Overwhelming evidence of planning and execution of final project.  | Solid evidence of planning and execution of final project. | Limited evidence of planning and of final project. | Little to no evidence of planning the project. |
| **Access** | The nominee demonstrates with exemplary proficiency the increased ability created by the project for users to locate relevant information/archives. | The nominee demonstrates with limited proficiency the increased ability created by the project for users to locate relevant information/archives. | The nominee is unclear on the increased ability created by the project for users to locate relevant information/archives. | The nominee does not indicate any benefits of the project for users to locate relevant information/archives. |
| **Notes**  |